UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:22-mj-00065 SKO
Plaintiff,	DETENTION OPDED
V.	DETENTION ORDER (Violation of Supervised Release)
ROBERTO VENTURA-CERVANTEZ,	
Defendant.	
The defendant having been arrested for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of supervised release; and Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.l(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), the Court finds that: The defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant is not likely to flee; and/or The defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence with the defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that defendant has not met defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has not met def	
that defendant is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c).	
X The defendant is to be transported to the Southern District of California as soon as practicable.	
This finding is based on the reasons stated on the record.	
Dated: April 28, 2022 UNITE	<u>/s/ Sheila K. Oberto</u> D STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE